

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,478	01/28/2005	Jan Sternby	02508.0106	4522
22852 7599 129165998 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001 4413			EXAMINER	
			KIM, SUN U	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
				-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/500 478 STERNBY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JOHN KIM 1797 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 and 16-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-15 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number:

10/500,478 Art Unit: 1797

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/5/08 has been entered.

- 2. Claims 1-11 and 16-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was treated as an election without traverse in the reply filed on 10/29/07 as indicated in previous office action.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 12 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Popovich et al (US Patent No. 4,191,182) in view of Wenthold et al (US Patent No. 5,683,584).

Regarding claims 12 and 27, Popovich et al teach a plasmapheresis method and device comprising a blood circuit (1, 13), a fluid circuit (23) and a filter (9) having a semipermeable membrane (11) separating a fluid compartment (9b) from a blood compartment (9a) provided with means (e.g. a junction of circuits 1, 33) for mixing blood and a cleaning fluid (e.g. replacement fluid) and directing the mixture through the blood compartment (9a) and means (29 e.g. ultrafiltrate pump) for applying a pressure gradient across the membrane (11) to create an ultrafiltration into the fluid compartment (9b) (see figure 1; col. 3, line 16 – col. 4, line 35; col. 4,

10/500,478 Art Unit: 1797 Page 3

line 62 - col. 10, line 46). Popovich et al further teach that the replacement fluid rate (e.g. cleaning fluid) can be from 75 ml/min to 600 ml/min since recycle flow rate is maintained in the range from about 5 ml/minute/layer to about 40 ml/min/layer in 15 separate ultrafiltering membrane layers while blood flow rate is from about 20 to about 400 ml/min (see col. 9, lines 2-54). Above meets the limitation of a ratio between the cleaning fluid flow rate and a blood flow rate at at least 5. Claims 12 and 27 essentially differ from the method and apparatus of Popovich et al in reciting a water permeability coefficient of the filter being at least 10 ml/min/mmHg and the cleaning fluid flow rate being at least 1000 ml/min. Wenthold et al teach plasma filtering membranes having water permeability in excess of 900 ml/hr/mmHg/m² for 0.05 m² which is converted to be 300 ml/min/mmHg (see col. 38, line 67 - col. 39, line 15). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the plasma filter membrane of Wenthold for the plasma filtering membrane of Popovich et al for plasmapheresis in high volume of blood. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the flow rate of cleaning fluid, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPO 233.

5. Claims 13 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popovich et al in view of Wenthold et al as applied to claims 12 and 27 above, and further in view of Breslau (US Patent No. 4,435,289). Regarding claims 13 and 28, Popovich et al do not suggest several filters arranged in series or parallel or a combination thereof. Breslau teaches that ultrafiltration process by series flow configuration result in increased cost efficiency and reduced energy requirement by utilizing increased operating pressures and backpressurization of

10/500.478 Art Unit: 1797

Page 4

permeate (see abstract; figures 2-5). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to replace plasma filter with several filters arranged in

series for increased cost efficiency and reduced energy requirement as suggested by Breslau (see

abstract).

6. Claims 14-15 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Popovich et al in view of Wenthold et al as applied to claims 12 and 27 above, and further in

view of Brugger et al (US Patent No. 6,572,641 B2). Regarding claims 14-15 and 29-30,

Popovich et al do not suggest a heater for heating blood before it is returned to the patient.

Brugger et al teach a blood warming device in connection to a device (60) including

ultrafiltration device (e.g. plasmapheresis) to heat blood to protect against hypothermia in

patients receiving blood and avoids the need for a separate drip chamber (see figure 3; col. 3,

lines 20-29; col. 4, line 51 - col. 5, line 2). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

skill in the art to combine the plasmapheresis device with blood warming device of Brugger et al

along a path including returning blood to protect against hypothermia in patients receiving blood

and avoids the need for a separate drip chamber as suggested by Brugger et al (see col. 3, lines

20-29).

7. Claims 14-15 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Popovich et al in view of Wenthold et al and Breslau as applied to claims 13 and 28 above, and

further in view of Brugger et al (US Patent No. 6,572,641 B2). Regarding claims 14-15 and 29-

30. Popovich et al do not suggest a heater for heating blood before it is returned to the patient,

but Breslau teaches several filters in series as described in above paragraph 4. Brugger et al

teach a blood warming device in connection to a device (60) including ultrafiltration device (e.g.

10/500,478 Art Unit: 1797 Page 5

plasmapheresis) to heat blood to protect against hypothermia in patients receiving blood and avoids the need for a separate drip chamber (see figure 3; col. 3, lines 20-29; col. 4, line 51 - col.

5, line 2). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the

plasmapheresis device with blood warming device of Brugger et al along a path in a final filter

including returning blood to protect against hypothermia in patients receiving blood and avoids

the need for a separate drip chamber as suggested by Brugger et al (see col. 3, lines 20-29).

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-15 and 27-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. US Patent No. 6,605,218 and 4,906,375 teach a dialyzer having membrane with water

permeability greater than 1000 ml/min/mmHg.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JOHN KIM whose telephone number is (571)272-1142. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7 a.m. - 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Sample can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/500,478 Art Unit: 1797 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Kim/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

JΚ

12/13/08